



Health Inspection 5 Star Rating: ★ ★	Previous Month: ★★★		3 Months Prior: ★★★	<b>⊙</b> Help
The health inspection rating is based on the number, scope, and severity of deficiencies identified during the 3 survey cycle is weighted so that the more recent survey cycles have more impact on the overall total score as	or 2 most recent annual inspection surveys and from compl compared to the older survey cycle. at View Health Inspection	aint investigations during that same time per 5 Star Trend	riod. More than one revisit will have a negative impact	ct on the score for a survey cycle. The total score from each
Inspection Cycle	1	2	3	
Health Survey Date	2018-03-28	2016-11-02	2015-09-21	
Count of Health Deficiencies				
Standard				
Freedom from Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation	0	0	0	
Quality of Life and Care	5	7	3	
Resident Assessment and Care Planning	1	3	1	
Nursing and Physician Services	1	0	0	
Resident Rights	1	2	2	
Nutrition and Dietary	1	0	1	
Pharmacy Service	1	2	2	
Environmental	1	2	0	
Administration	0	0	0	
Number of Standard Health Deficiencies	11	16	9	
Scope of most Severe Standard Health Deficiency	Many	Many	Many	
Severity of most Severe Standard Health Deficiency	Actual harm	Actual harm	Minimal harm or potential for actual harm	
Complaints				
Number of Complaint Health Deficiencies	0	0	0	
Scope of most Severe Complaint Health Deficiency				
Severity of most Severe Complaint Health Deficiency				
Total Number of Health Deficiencies	11	16	9	
Health Deficiency Score	76	92	52	
Number of Health Revisits	1	1	1	
Health Revisit Score	0	0	0	
Total Health Score	76	92	52	
Survey Weighting	1/2	1/3	1/6	
Weighted Total Health Score	38	31	9	
Total Weighted Health Survey Score	77			** View Cut Points
Use at Ossaty Metrics (login required using your MyLeadingAge username and password) and the annual to	me Trend Report to compare your inspection ratings to your p	eers.		

Staffing 5 Star Rating: \*\*\*\*\*
Registered Nurse (RN) Staffing 5 Star Rating: \*\*\*\*\*

Provious Morth: \*\*\*\*\*

1 Morths Prior: \*\*\*\*\*

Other

Control

Co

The number in the boar where the bubbe (i.e. adjusted PN hours) and red (i.e. adjusted ptal nume staff hours) lines intersect represents the numbers of stars this facility receives for staffing. The columns going from left to right represents the number of stars for total nume staff hours. The rows going from top to bottom represent the

	< Lower	Total nurse sta	aff hours (RN,	LPN, & CNA)	Higher>			
Lower>	1	1	2	2	3			Current
<u>r</u>	2	2	2	3	3			Gain
RN hours	2	3	3	3	4			Remain
	3	3	4	4	4	4.07000		RN Hours
< Higher	3	4	4	5	5	1.07238	_	Total Nurse Staff Hours

Interpretation

This facility currently has a 5 star staffing rating of 5.

The adjusted total nurse staff hours is close to a cut point.

The adjusted RN hours is close to a cut point.


Due to being close to a cut point, there is potential to lose 1 star for the staffing rating.

Although the scores are close to a cut point, there is a possibility that the change in cut point groups could result in the staffing rating remaining constant.

To see how a change in your resident mix or reported hours could change your staffing rating, use the staffing rating remaining constant. Use A Quality Metrics (togin required using your MyLeadingAge username and password) and the A Nursing Home Trend Report to compare your adjusted staff hours to your peers.

↔ View Cut Points

Quality Measure 5 Star Rating: ★ Long Stay Quality Measure 5 Star Rating: ★			revious Month: onl	y updated quarterly	3 Months Prior: ★		O Help
al View Quality Measure 5 Star Trend							
Based on this provider having missing short-stay QN	of data, possibly due to a low num	ber of resid	ents or stays, the C	Overall Quality Measi	ure 5 Star Rating is based on the rating of the long-stay QMs only.		
						Cut	CI
Quality Measure	Rate	Points	Better +	→ Worse	Interpretation	Point	Po
igher Rate is Better							
unctional Improvement (short-stay)	State Average Due to Missing Data 70.682	Excluded 105	70.7	73.6	Although his OAM has excluded based on missing data in eitherhofth the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM.  The facility rate is missing for this QM. The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average. Decreasing this QM rate by Q-45 or by approximately Q252 percentage points could result in losing 15 points.	70.39	7
Successful community discharge (short-stay)	State Average Due to Missing Data 46,112	Excluded 75	46.1	49.7	Although this GM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM.  This QM is not publically reported possible due to a low number of stays. Thus the following is the interpretation based on the state average.  Decreasing this QM rate by 0.1% or by approximately 0.062 percentage points could result in losing 15 points.	46.05	49
ower Rate is Better							
Number of outpatient emergency department (ED) visits per 1,000 resident days (long-stay)	2.567	15	1.9	1000	Decreasing this QM rate by 28.7% or by approximately 0.659 percentage points could result in gaining 15 points.	1.908	10
Number of hospitalizations per 1,000 resident days (long- stay)  View Trend	3.621	15	2.7	1000	Decreasing this QM rate by 24.7% or by approximately 0.893 percentage points could result in gaining 15 points.	2.729	10
Urinary Tract Infection (long-stay)  **Index Trend**  **I	7.059	20	7.1	100	Decreasing this QM rate by 35.8% or by approximately 2.529 percentage points could result in gaining 20 points.	4.53	10
Catheter (long-stay)  all View Trend	6.838	20	6.8	100	Decreasing this QM rate by 47.8% or by approximately 3.268 percentage points could result in gaining 20 points.	3.57	10
Mobility Decline (long-stay)	34.56	15	34.6	100	Decreasing this QM rate by 20.5% or by approximately 7.08 percentage points could result in gaining 15 points.	27.48	10
loderate to Severe Pain (long-stay) ≼ View Trend	20.608	20	20,6	100	Decreasing this QM rate by 42% or by approximately 8.658 percentage points could result in gaining 20 points.	11.95	10
njurious Falls (long-stay)  View Trend	20.93	20	5.1	100	Decreasing this QM rate by 75.4% or by approximately 15.78 percentage points could result in gaining 20 points.	5.15	10
DL Decline (long-stay)	38.462	15	38.5	100	Decreasing this QM rate by 39.6% or by approximately 15.222 percentage points could result in gaining 15 points.	23.24	10
lospital readmission (short-stay) of Meer Trend	State Average Due to Missing Data 21.525	Excluded 90	21.5	22.6	Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in eitherhooth the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM.  The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average. Decreasing this QM rate by 1.7% or by approximately 0.356 precentage points could result in gaining 15 points.	21.16	22
ntipsychotic Medications (short-stay)  d View Trend	State Average Due to Missing Data 2.131	Excluded 40	1.7	2.9	Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM.  The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average. Decreasing this QM rate by 20.7% or by approximately 0.44 precreating points could result in gaining 20 points.	1.69	2.8
igh Risk Pressure Ulcers (long-stay) d View Trend	8.889	40	7.8	10.6	Decreasing this QM rate by 11.8% or by approximately 1.049 percentage points could result in gaining 20 points.	7.84	10
ew or Worsening Pressure Ulcers (short-stay) of Vicw Trend	State Average Due to Missing Data 2.17	Excluded 40	1.6	3	Although this QM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM.  The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average. Decreasing this QM faile by 28 80 + or by approximately 0.56 percentage points could result in gaining 20 points.	1.61	3
foderate to Severe Pain (short-stay)  View Trend	State Average than to Missing Data 17.621	Excluded 40	13.4	20.3	Although this GM is excluded based on missing data in either/both the long-stay or short-stay QMs, here is the interpretation based on the data for this QM.  The facility rate is missing for this QM, possibly due to a low number of residents or stays. The state average is possibly used with a non-published rate to impute a rate used for your rating. Here is the interpretation based on the state average is normal processing this QM rate by 16.1% or by approximately e.656 percentage points could result in losing 20 points.	13.43	20



## Long-Stay (and Overall)



o	verall 5 Star Rating: ★ ★	Previous Month:★★★	3 Months Prior. ★★★			● Help					
Folk	Follow the steps below to see how your current rating is calculated and 2 scenarios could possibly change your overall 5 star rating.										
Step	Instruction	Current	Likely to Gain	Likely to Lose							
1	Start with the health inspection five-star rating.	2	2	2							
2	Add one star to the Step 1 result if staffing rating is four or five stars and greater than the heal	+1	+1	+1							
3	Add one star to the Step 2 result if quality measure rating is five stars; subtract one star if quality	- 1	-1	-1							
Note	If the health inspection rating is one star, then the overall quality rating cannot be upgraded by	- 0	- 0	- 0							
Final	nal Overall Star Rating										
Use	In Quality Metrics (login required using your MyLeadingAge username and password) and the	Nursing Home Trend Report to compare your 5 Star Rati	ngs to your peers.								

© LeadingAge New York 2019; All Rights Reserved.